

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
v.	)	2:18cr409-MHT
	)	(WO)
CEDRIC WRIGHT	)	

OPINION AND ORDER

This case is before the court on defendant Cedric Wright's unopposed motion to continue trial. For the reasons set forth below, the court finds that jury selection and trial, now set for April 8, 2019, should be continued pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the granting of a continuance is left to the sound discretion of the trial judge, see *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986), the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a

judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

§ 3161(c)(1). The Act excludes from the 70-day period any continuance based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." § 3161(h)(7)(A). In granting such a continuance, the court may consider, among other factors, whether the failure to grant the continuance "would be likely to ... result in a miscarriage of justice," § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), or "would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

The court concludes that, in this case, the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the interest of the public and Wright in a speedy trial. Wright filed a motion to suppress evidence in this case, and those proceedings remain ongoing. According to his motion to continue, his case has been

re-assigned to another United States Magistrate Judge, and a suppression hearing on his motion is set for April 1. Given these circumstances, the court concludes that a continuance of Wright's April 8 trial is necessary to allow defense counsel an adequate opportunity to prepare effectively. In addition, the government does not oppose the continuance.

\*\*\*

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

(1) Defendant Cedric Wright's motion to continue (doc. no. 54) is granted.

(2) The jury selection and trial, now set for April 8, 2019, are continued to May 20, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2FMJ of the Frank M. Johnson Jr. United States Courthouse Complex, One Church Street, Montgomery, Alabama.

DONE, this the 20th day of March, 2019.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE